

**Academic Libraries of Indiana (ALI), Inc.**  
**Membership Meeting**  
**May 4, 2006**  
*Draft*

**Welcome and Introductions**

Judith Violette, Chair, convened the meeting at 9:50 a.m. with introductions of 2005/06 Board members and all members attending. She then reviewed the Mission of ALI and accomplishments of 2005/06.

**ALI Accomplishments 2005-06**

Resource Sharing – We’ve had nearly 100% participation in reciprocal borrowing, both no charge ILL of books and in person borrowing. Both programs will continue. Members must notify Judie if there are changes for their own institution.

Planning for a Remote Storage Facility – the topics of the morning’s program.

Database Licensing agreements on behalf of member libraries saved more than \$100,000 and Indiana University coordinated a pilot project to coordinate license negotiations and agreements.

Through a Marketing and Public Relations project, a new ALI web site has been developed as well as a standard logo.

Additional activities included support of grant funding for statewide access for WorldCat and development of INCat, response to the INCOLSA strategic plan, participation on behalf of ALI in the Indiana Library Leaders’ Council and the International Coalition of Library Consortia (INCOLC.)

What’s next for ALI? – Developing an updated strategic plan, participating in a united voice for Indiana Libraries, expanding resource sharing, seeking funding for storage unit, helping to define the new federal depository, and supporting state digitization efforts.

**Program**

Indiana University’s Central Repository of Government Documents.  
Speaker Lou Malcolm, Head of Government Information at IU.

The Federal Depository Program started in 1813. In 1881, IU became a full depository and in the mid-60’s decided to no longer receive everything, moving to a “selective” depository but still receiving 85-95% of all materials in the depository program. The Indiana State Library is the regional depository for the state with oversight over the depository program in Indiana and contact with the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO). The State Library receives a copy of all printed materials distributed.

GPO Access is difficult to use but has improved and has a strong strategic plan, but it has a long way to go. The last print copy of the *Monthly Catalog* was August 2004. GPO plans to provide

retrospective cataloging for everything published back to 1789. Currently nothing pre-1976 has a full listing.

GPO plans for the future include a few “light archives” which would be comprehensive circulating collections, a couple dark archive repositories of non-circulating collections, and a collection of last resort.

In 2003 The IU depository librarians met to discuss the role of depository libraries in a fully online environment. In their final report to directors in 2/2006 the group recommended (1) selecting 100% of electronic depository items and listing them in IUCAT in one location accessible by all. (2) IU Bloomington would select 100% of the available print items and process them directly into the Auxiliary Library Facility (ALF). These items would be circulating copies and the collection would become a light archive as defined by GPO.

As a result of GPO’s move to electronic distribution, every library in the state is now an almost full depository with access to collections, preservation, reference service, and support for front-line libraries.

Lynn Hufford gave a pictorial tour of the IU ALF in Bloomington and described the services. It is a secure facility with five employees who can process 35,000 items per day. Shelves are 30 feet tall and currently will hold about 2 million items. There are 1.5 million now and the other space is reserved.

The Remote Storage Task Force survey received 28 responses. 11 of the 28 replied they would “maybe” put things in a storage unit and 11 had no interest. The half a million items proposed by interested members could be processed in six months. Archival materials were mentioned as a possibility the task force hadn’t predicted.

The attending members then divided into small groups to discuss how a remote storage facility and a centralized shared collection of government documents would benefit their libraries and users. (Notes from each facilitated group are appended below.)

### **Business meeting**

Judie presented a certificate of appreciation to Larry Frye for his service and role in the founding group for ALI.

### **By-Laws changes**

Motion to accept all change to By-Laws carried.

Nominations Committee—Janet Brewer.

The nominations committee put forward a slate of 3 names for the at-large position on the Board. (Janet Brewer, Dennis Lawson, Myrna McCallister.) There were no nominations from the floor. The votes were counted and Janet Brewer will be the at-large Board member for 2006/07.

### **Resource Sharing—Robert Roethemeyer**

Three years ago we discussed and implemented in person borrowing and free ILL of books. Two years ago we discussed meta search engines or implementation of WorldCat as a discovery tool for resources sharing. One year ago we were at Phase 1 of grant support for WorldCat and developing INCat which rolled out in November. The Indiana University symbol flip was implemented in February, allowing each library in the IU system a separate holdings display in WorldCat. This summer the IVY Tech libraries' symbols will also be implemented. The next phase was to develop policies for resource sharing through ILL and Direct Request. 16 libraries participated in a Direct Request pilot project to use ILLiad or Resources Sharing in OCLC March through April for users to directly request ILL books.

Most libraries were already staffed for turn around within 24 hours. Now with the implementation of the IVY Tech symbol flip, the task force would like to invite the remaining ALI libraries for training.

Remaining issue is true load leveling and 5 day Wheels delivery.

### **Resolution for Priority Delivery. (text below)**

*Whereas the ALI Mission is To enhance and enrich access to the full range of resources and services required to improve the quality of teaching, learning, and research in our colleges an universities through collaboration and resource sharing,*

*Whereas the ALI member libraries have successfully implemented the ALI Reciprocal Borrowing Program and a No-charge ILL Program for enhancing resource sharing among our libraries,*

*Whereas a group of ALI member libraries have engaged in a Direct Request Pilot Project for the purpose of furthering timely sharing of resources,*

*Be it resolved that ALI member libraries are committed to giving priority to requests from ALI libraries and will make every effort to provide the fastest service possible.*

Resolution was approved unanimously.

Larry Frye—reminded the group that the LSTA funding for WorldCat/INCat is only set for 2 years.

### **Database Licensing Task Force—Lewis Miller.**

The Board approved a pilot with IU this past year to coordinate database licensing for ALI to get a sense of amount of time needed. (See “Draft Recommendations to ALI Board Licensing Task Force, March 13, 2006”) As a result of information gained in the pilot the Task Force will be sending out an RFI for this activity to interested libraries, networks and consortia. The Task Force needs to spend time on strategy and policy level activities.

INSPIRE Advisory Committee will be discussing expanding Academic Search Elite to Academic Search Premiere. (*paragraph 1 on page 2 of DRAFT Recommendations to ALI Board, Licensing Task Force, March 13, 2006*)

EBSCO deal is pretty well completed and sent in to INCOLSA. We need payments in by July 15. If you didn't get the database list, you probably are not on the listserv; contact Lewis. There are good discussions and evaluative information about different database trials.

Lewis attended the International Coalition of Library Consortia (ICOLC) meeting in March. There were good but tense discussions with publishers. Since \$150 million worth of sales in that room, it was an important meeting for vendors to attend.

ACS was priced for ALI members originally based on what each had paid for the print. We are three years into this and now have usage data. Will get information out and get feedback on different pricing options.

#### **Distance Education and Off Campus Library Services Task Force—Susan Mannan**

The Task Force developed and conducted a survey. Most libraries were dispersing duties of serving these students. Distance student and on campus student definitions are blurring. Services were also difficult to separate data of which students were using separate services. Most libraries are not doing much assessment. The TF next project is to conduct a faculty survey.

The TF wants to work on defining the distance education student better. Challenges: ID students, how are they served, BI to distance ed students, assessment, promotion of library services to distance ed students and faculty.

#### **Nominations committee—Janet Brewer**

The slate of candidates for officers was presented. President—Judie Violette, Vice-President—Jim Mullins, Secretary—Susan Catt, Treasurer—Mary Persyn.

It was moved and seconded and passed unanimously to accept the slate of candidates for officers.

#### **New Web site demo—Arthur Hafner**

See: [http:// ali.bsu.edu](http://ali.bsu.edu)

#### **Treasurer's Report—Jim Mullins reporting for Mary Persyn.**

Question: How does contribution to Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy fit with the ALI mission and where does it appear in the financial report?

Cooperative licensing of resources—make access available to all of our members. It contributes to a matching grant by NEH.

Larry Frye suggested more itemization in the budget. If you have a reserve, show it. If you expend out of it, show it and report to members.

Proposed budget 06/07—Moved and seconded and approved to accept.

Motion to accept membership fee at \$300: Tom Kirk moved, Robert Roethemeyer seconded and the motion carried.

**Minutes from last year.**

Motion to accept was seconded and approved unanimously.

**New Business**

Judie presented certificates of appreciation to Board members Lewis Miller and Janet Fore who are leaving the Board.

Larry Frye suggested notifying to member list when Board posts minutes and when a task force does something. There is silence out there from ALI to members.

The membership meeting adjourned at 3 p.m followed by a brief Board meeting including new Members of the Board.

## **Academic Libraries of Indiana (ALI)**

Small group meeting notes

ALI Membership Meeting, May 4, 2006

Compiled from originals by Jennifer Younger/Melodie Eiteljorge

### **Group 1: Susan Catt, facilitator**

light repository - sees light of day

dark repository - not used

access

preservation

reference service

#### What are the opportunities?

1) open up space

2) access

3) centralized reference

4) free up staff

5) cost savings

#### Barriers:

6) centralized reference

7) how to keep expertise going

8) student use might go up

9) browsing not possible

10) centralized searching via INCAT

11) central location of legacy collection

12) expertise at institutions to fill in the gap

### **Group 2 - Jim Mullins, facilitator**

#### Opportunities:

1) Reduce number of contacts

2) Expertise that can be drawn upon - remote

3) Training and education of more librarians

4) Tools be developed that can provide more access

5) Open up government info to broader community

6) Training piece

7) Cataloging commitment of retro collections

8) Time could be freed up to be committed state documents

9) It becomes a collective resource

#### Barriers:

1) Cooperative collection development

2) Infrastructure - one day delivery. 5 days of delivery per week for all 448 libraries would cost \$725,000 (\$10/day/stop)

3) Inventory and cataloging

4) Books in general - transportation

5) Charge all members

6) Need a discovery tool & infrastructure for delivery

7) Some libraries have a greater need for remote storage for books and archives. Gov Docs repository is low on priority list for these libraries.

### **Group 3: David Lewis, facilitator**

1) Need grant money

Step-wise - document history of GPO assessment

Decentralize

2) Need model - by types

1) How do I interact?

2) \$

3) Responsibility

4) Result when done

3) Long-term commitment to funding operations

Not charging eventually

GPO retrospective digitization make irrelevant

### **Group 4: Janet Brewer, facilitator**

Opportunities:

- Facility in Indianapolis? – centralized; shipping time; set standards/criteria
- Ivy Techs: don't archive at present
- Economical advantages of building on to present facility
- If we didn't have to manage this, what could we do?
- Environmental conditions of the state
- Difficulty of access to government info, don't exploit government info wage; opportunity to move this info into the forefront ... changing landscape; not just federal/state; but potential at local level
- Looking at our own specialties; greater reliance on each other with each contributing into body of tangible items
- Not just government docs
- Specificity of what is unique across the state
- Cost of storing in ALF ... 9 cents a volume; how long to get your stuff in?
- What would be the effect on Wheels; cost of increasing days not economically feasible for some
- Electronic delivery is best
- Should we wait for Google with their digitization project
- Recovery of limited space; more inviting space; reuse of space
- Reuse of manpower
- Law libraries: retrospective of collections done collectively/consortia; state codes; special libraries collective storage; state departments don't do archiving well; if anyone is going to archive it has to be us. Some at hospital libraries; preserve and store
- How do we ID those organizations/agencies to send info to and market for them to do this; regionally; subgroups and within ALI to work together
- For Indiana: government docs in one place
- Comprehensive approach could focus on intellectual capital of state; knowledge management part
- Leverage to get money
- Leverage reference services ... leverage of expertise