

ALI Board Meeting Minutes – DRAFT
April 25, 2006
University Library, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis

Present: Janet Brewer, Susan Catt, William Darr, Janet Fore (recording) Arthur Hafner, David Lewis, Susan Mannan, Jim Mullins, Mary Persyn, Robert Roethemeyer, Robert Slayton, John Stachacz, Pat Steele, Judith Violette (chair) and Jennifer Younger.

Minutes from March 28, 2006 meeting. Correction—Robert Slayton’s name was missing. The minutes were approved as amended.

Treasurer’s Report – Mary Persyn

We have expended \$6,956.99. Items not in the report include \$3,000 approved to the Encyclopedia of Philosophy, expenses for the March meeting and any expenses for the membership meeting. We currently have \$32,399.12 in the Reserve and expect to have approximately \$7,500 to go in by the end of the year.

Agenda for Membership Meeting – Judie Violette

Mary is taking names and will e-mail those members who have not yet responded to the call for registration.

Janet and Judie will bring name tags and pens. Janet will make copies of the 06 budget and proposed 07 budget that Mary will send to her electronically.

At part of the opening/introduction, there will be a call for retiring and new members and a certificate of appreciation for Larry Frye.

The speakers will be Lou Malcomb to address the docs issues and the basics of IU’s project regarding the light repository for government documents as a shared collection for all. She would like a couple of Board members to respond with “What do I see as opportunities for expending services to my users (even if we/I am not a depository.) from this shared collection of federal and state government information.”

There are four state institutions (ISU, BSU, IU, PU) and eight public libraries who are state depository in that they “get everything,” that is distributed by the State Library. There are no retention rules, no collection rules.

Robert S. will talk to the Director of Public Records and State Archives—Jim Corydon about what requirements exist, such as any existing state laws that might require these documents to be in or near Indianapolis.

The Superintendent of Gov Docs is committed to digitization of the retrospective collection and is interested in Indiana being a model for a shared legacy print collection.

If we are to try to procure some ongoing state funding, we would need to be clear about the cost model for a state side strategy for managing the print collection effectively.

Bobbi Booker is very interested in contributing planning funding from LSTA funds and participating in a planning process with IU.

Questions/issues we want to address in the program: (1) In the Q&A session we want to broaden our understanding of further opportunities. (2) What does this mean for the State in general? What is a statewide strategy about the efficient storage of one paper copy of this information which is an “insurance policy” that allows us to work different and redirect our people resources. (3) What are the pros/cons/obstacles/opportunities? (4) We don’t yet have a financial model developed to the point of a formal proposal.

After the presentation, we will divide up into 6 groups with facilitators (Pat, Jim, Judie, David, Susan, Janet B.) We will have an internet connection, computer and projector to show a video clip of digital photos of the ALF. Judie will bring a handout – the vision statement from GPO, “Tips to Effectively Transition to a More Online Federal Depository Collection”

20 min presentation by Lou Malcolm, 5 min for Q/A, 5 min ALF visuals, 5 min Pat discussing the possibilities for timeline/financial model, breakout in small groups, reconvene and report out 1-2 highlights.

12:30 lunch, then begin the business meeting at 1:15.

Agenda:

Bylaws changes – list them in the agenda

Nominating Committee

Resource Sharing

Remote Storage

Database Activities and ICOLC

Distance Education

ALI web site demo

Treasurer’s report—Jim for Mary

Secretary’s report—last membership meeting minutes

Report to membership on ALI input to the INCOLSA strategic plan

(Board meeting break for lunch)

Resource Sharing/Direct Request Pilot—Robert Roethemeyer

The pilot ended last Friday (4/21) Part of the Task Force is working on data analysis. Robert will be gathering “qualitative data” and “stories” from participants. The discovery and direct request part of a wider implementation of this service is the easy part. The more politically charged issue is getting everyone to 5 days Wheels delivery.

Should ALI partially fund bringing all participants to 5 day Wheels delivery? Or should we press INCOLSA to fund or subsidize, when all regional meetings indicated that Wheels is the 1st or 2nd most important service to members? Wheels is currently not

adequate for what we're trying to do. How do we get to a more effective delivery mechanism?

The Board moved to (1) ask Robert to proceed to expand Direct Request to all ALI members. (2) The Board has also endorsed a study of effective delivery of resources and (3) requested the Chair to work with INCOLSA on effective delivery. The motion passed unanimously.

Database Licensing – Lewis Miller

Lewis is working on the RFI and will get it out in May and report to the Membership meeting.

The Ebsco deal is out “on the streets” and is in its third year. Most products have a 5% increase in cost.

INSPIRE advisory Committee has approved extending current contracts to June (from the previous calendar year renewal.)

ICOLC conference was an excellent meeting. Judie will send out the full report. 103 consortia were represented, worldwide. The ACS attended the ICOLC meeting and is ready to change their pricing structure. Because of these changes we may have to change the pricing model within ALI. *Nature* also had a tough “grilling”. These consortia represent well over \$100 million worth of business, so it is an important meeting for each vendor/publisher.

Lewis would like to propose pushing more formal communication with INCOLSA about what database trials are coming up, etc.

Distance Education & Off Campus Services Task Force – Susan Mannan

The Task Force made a presentation at ILF at the Public Libraries group. Judy Tribble moderated and presenters were from Ball State, ISU, Indiana Wesleyan, and IvyTech. The presenters explained services for distance students offered at their institutions, e.g., remote access tools. There was also an ILF petition successfully circulated to create a new division for distance education.

Susan also co-presented a paper in Savannah, GA, about the history of statewide collaboration on services for off campus students.

The Task Force is working on a presentation for ICN learning center coordinators; and in the coming year, the Task Force will be working on defining the distance student better.

Public Relations – Arthur Hafner

James Bradley at BSU is working on cleaning up names, etc. At the membership meeting registration, we need to have a sign-in sheet for name, institution, e-mail address to be sure we have our list up to date and correct. We are ready to migrate from the Earlham site.

Nominations Committee – Janet Brewer

The committee will be meeting by phone Friday, 4/26.

Robert Slayton presented information from a recent meeting regarding the \$12 million appropriated by the State to connect all colleges and universities with high band width fiber. \$6 million is already spent and the governor has just released the other \$6 million. IHETs' role will be the "last mile." As this gets implemented, it will be important for libraries to participate or possibly be bypassed. We will need to talk about the high speed computing needs of the library—video conferencing in support of classroom activities, digital projects, sharing digital objects, institutional repositories, video streaming.

There will be regional meetings with IHETS and local IT people.

New Business

Arthur – a problem came up last week with their hosted ILLIAD system which caused the system to be shut down. Authenticating users by Passing user names and passwords back and forth in the system was cited as a violation of state law.

Avian flu—each county may have a plan we need to be aware of these plans. It is expected to emerge as a big problem in August. Are any issues related to this relevant to share with members?

The meeting was adjourned at 2:35 p.m.